

PHACOEMULSIFICATION SURGERIES AT INDUS MEDICAL COLLEGE HOSPITAL (STUDY OF 100CASES)

Nisar Ahmed Khan, Aftab Ahmed Khan, Jawed Memon, Sobia Khan

Department of Ophthalmology / Indus Medical College, Tando Muhammad Khan

Corresponding Author:

Nisar Ahmed Khan

Department of Ophthalmology,
Indus Medical College Tando Muhammad Khan

Co-Authors:

Aftab Ahmed Khan

FCPS (Ophthalmology)
Assist. Professor, Department of Pathology
Indus Medical College Tando Muhammad Khan

Jawed Memon

FCPS (Ophthalmology)
Assist. Professor, Department of Ophthalmology
Indus Medical College Tando Muhammad Khan

Sobia Khan

Medical Student (4th Year MBBS)
Indus Medical College Tando Muhammad Khan

Corresponding author email:

nisargh2001@yahoo.com

Manuscript received on: 14-08-2018

Manuscript accepted on: 30-11-2018

33 were hypertensive, 3 were with cardiac problems using pace maker and 1 was involved with HCV infection. Patients suffering from ocular diseases: open angle glaucoma 5, pseudoexfoliation 6, pigment dispersion syndrome 2, chronic iritis 3, Cholesterolosis bulbi 2, asteroid hyalosis 1, age related macular degeneration 3. All were dilated with mydriacyl/phenylephrine eye drops, local anesthesia as retrobulbar as well as facial block (von lint technique) were given using 2% xylocaine injection without adrenaline. 2.8 mm incision, capsulorhexis with bent 27 gauge needle, followed by hydrodissection and in some hydrodelineation with small caliber irrigation cannula, copious 2% methylcellulose used to save endothelial cells as well as to maintain anterior chamber, all 4 steps of phaco followed with divide

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the outcome of phacoemulsification surgeries at Department of Ophthalmology, Indus Medical College Hospital, TMK Pakistan.

Materials and Methods: 100 eyes of 87 patients were included in this study that was conducted from 1st January 2017 to 30th November 2018. 74 patients were male whereas 13 were female. 40 right eyes, 34 left eyes while 13 patients were undergone bilateral phaco surgeries within 7 to 16 days. 1 patient was in age group C, another 1 was in group D, 16 were in group E, 33 were in group F and remaining 36 were in group G. 41 were suffering from diabetes,

and conquer method and finally injectable IOL implanted. Every operation ended with subconjunctival injection of dexamethasone 2mg plus gentamicin 20mg.

Results: 59 eyes gained 20/20 visual acuity on first post-operative day, 23 eyes gained 20/40, 10 gained 20/60 which over a period of five days improved to 20/20 after using topical prednisolone 1mg along with moxifloxacin eye drops, 5 gained 20/80 corrected with glasses, 3 were having 20/100 because of macular diseases.

Conclusion: In my experience phacoemulsification is an excellent technique which saves time, gives early rehabilitation depending upon the patience, experience and skill of surgeons.

Citation:

Khan NA, Khan AA, Memon J, Khan S. Phacoemulsification Surgeries at Indus Medical College Hospital (Study of 100 Cases). JIMC. 2018; 1(1): 30-34

KEYWORDS: Cataract; Phacoemulsification results.

INTRODUCTION: Cataract is being defined as an opacification of crystalline lens, having morphological types; subcapsular; anterior and posterior, cortical, nuclear opacification [1]. Opacity further divided according to density: grade 1 to grade 4. Symptoms of cataract are decreased/blurring of vision. Mostly senile [2] with other important causes like trauma, diabetes, myotonic dystrophy, atopic dermatitis, neurofibromatosis type 2, steroid induced, chronic iritis, high myopia, retinitis pigmentosa, gyrate atrophy, Stickler syndrome etc [3]. As the lens ages, it increases in weight and thickness as new layers of cortical fibers are formed concentrically, the lens nucleus undergoes compression and hardening (nuclear sclerosis). Crystalline (lens proteins) are changed by chemical modifications and aggregation into high- molecular- weight proteins [4]. The only treatment for cataract is surgery either large incision ECCE or phacoemulsification, small incision early rehabilitation and with good visual outcome. The technique and results of cataract surgery have changed dramatically during the past three decades. In all over the world we have moved from intracapsular cataract extraction as the preferred technique to almost exclusively extracapsular techniques. Smaller incisions have become the standard, with phacoemulsification now being the method of choice for most of surgeons [5].

MATERIAL AND METHODS: 100 eyes of 87 patients were included in this study, treated by phaco emulsification with injectable IOL implantations at Indus Medical college Hospital Tando Mohammad Khan from 1st January 2017 to 30th November 2018. All eyes were dilated prior surgery with mydriacyl, phenylephrine eye drops, local anesthesia given using retrobulbar and facial (von lint) with 2% lidocaine (xylocaine injections). Phaco done with AMO's Signature machine under zeiss microscope. Out of 87 patients 13(14.94%) were females, 74(85.05%) were males (Table 1), patients were divided into different age groups as: there was

no patients in group A and B, group C and D have only one patient respectively, group E includes 16, group F includes 33 and group G includes 36 (Table 2). Out of 100 patients 40(45.97%) were right eyes, 34(39.08%) left eyes and 13(14.94%) were both eyes (Table 3). 78 Patients were suffering with systemic diseases like diabetes (47.12%), hypertension (37.93%), cardiac problem, using pacemaker (3.44%), HCV (1.14%) underwent surgery after having fitness from their physicians (Table 4). 22 patients were suffering with ocular diseases like Glaucoma (5.74%), pseudoexfoliation (6.89%), Pigment dispersion syndrome (2.29%), old healed iritis with peripheral ant. Synechiae (3.44%), Cholesterolosisbulbi (2.29%), asteroid hyalosis (1.14%), Age related macular degeneration (3.44%) (Table 5). After aseptic techniques, draping and using 2 drops of 5% povidone-iodine solution instilled into conjunctival sac, after 3 minutes copious irrigation done, incision started with 2.8mm phaco knife, capsulorhexis done with 27 gauge bent needle, hydrodissection and in some hydrodelineation using small caliber irrigation cannula, copious use of 2% methyl cellulose to save endothelium as well as to maintain anterior chamber. All 4 steps of phaco followed and finally injectable IOL implanted. Wound closed with stromal hydration. Every operation finished with sub conjunctival injection of Dexamethasone 2mg plus gentamicin 20mg, and eye kept pached for 24 hours.

RESULTS:

100 eyes of 87 patients were undergone surgery by phacoemulsification with injectable IOL implantation, 59 eyes (59%) improved visual acuity to 20/20 at first postoperative day, 23 eyes (23%) improved up to 20/40, 10 eyes (10%) up to 20/60, 5 eyes (5%) up to 20/80, and 3 eyes (3%) improved up to 20/100 (Table 6). All above vision were uncorrected visual acuity, only 5 were corrected by glasses remaining were without any correction.

TABLE 1 GENDER (n=87)

Gender	No. of Patients	%
Male	74	85.05
Female	13	14.94

TABLE 2 AGE GROUPS (n=87)

Age Groups	Ages	No. of Patients	Percentage %
A	0-10	Nil	0
B	11-20	Nil	0
C	21-30	1	1.14
D	31-40	1	1.14
E	41-50	16	18.39
F	51-60	33	37.93
G	61-70	36	41.37

TABLE 3 LATERALITY (n=87)

Laterality	No. of Patients	%
Right Eye	40	45.97
Left Eye	34	39.08
Both Eyes	13	14.94

TABLE 4 SYSTEMIC DISEASES (n=87)

Systemic Diseases	No. of Patients	%
Diabetes	41	47.12
Hypertension	33	37.93
Cardiac Problem Using Pacemaker	3	3.44
Hcv	1	1.14

TABLE 5 OCULAR DISEASES (n=87)

Ocular Diseases	No. of Patients	%
Chronic Simple Glaucoma	5	5.74
Pseudoexfoliation	6	6.89
Pigment Dispersion	2	2.29
Chronic Iritis	3	3.44
Cholesterolosis Bulbi	2	2.29
Asteroid Hyalosis	1	1.14
Macular Degeneratio	3	3.44

TABLE 6 VISUAL OUTCOME (n=87)

Visual Acuity	No. of Eyes	%
20/20	59	59
20/40	23	23
20/60	10	10
20/80	5	5
20/100	3	3

DISCUSSION: Phacoemulsification is a very safe and less time taking technique depending upon good dilation of pupil pre-operative and during surgery as well as the patience, experience and skill of surgeons. It is established that the smaller phacoemulsification wound gives less induced astigmatism, faster visual rehabilitation and improved wound security than ECCE [6-11]. Smaller wound heals more rapidly with less risk of leakage, viscoelastic do not leave the eye through small incision [12]. 82 (82%) of my patient improved visual acuity up to 20/20 on first and second day, 10(10%) developed striate keratitis and treated with topical steroid and regained 20/20 on 5th post operative day. In 5 (5%) visual acuity corrected with glasses with in -1.50 D sphere and -0.75 cylinder at 90 degrees, 3(3%) who were suffering with age related macular degeneration remained after BCVA at 20/100. Not a single case suffered with post operative endophthalmitis same as in a study done by Cooper et al. [13]. Out of 100, 10 eyes developed striate keratitis, reason was hard nucleus more than grade 3 density needed high phaco power and time by the technique divide and conquer same as described by Gimbel [14] topical steroids were being prescribed and on 5th post-op day vision become 20/20. Though it was fairly high 20% in one study by Popiela G et al. [15] but in our experience it was only 10%, a grade 3 nucleus (severely dense) and long absolute phaco time

as independent predictors for endothelial cell loss [16]. Phacoemulsification in the capsular bag by directing probe away from the corneal endothelium and keeping the lens fragments at deeper plane are the measures which would be helpful in minimizing the chances of corneal edema and striate after phacoemulsification same as suggested by Zetterstrin C [17] and Pirazzoli G et al. [18].

CONCLUSION: Phacoemulsification with injectable IOL implantation is a very safe technique depending upon experience and skill, strictly follow selection and exclusion criteria and with a good knowledge when to abandon or convert the technique, always keep the lens fragment in the capsular bag with the phaco tip directed away from endothelium, do not follow the lens fragment near the posterior capsule better to allow fragments to follow the tip.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: I am thankful to Dr. Aftab Ahmed khan assistant professor, Dr Jawed Memon for their continous support throughout and I am also thankful and appreciate Sobia khan (4th year MBBS student at Indus medical college) for compiling data .

References

1. Kanski JJ. Clinical ophthalmology; a systemic approach. 2011; 7: 270-271.
2. Brian G, Taylor H. Cataract blindness-challenges for 21st century. Bull World Health Organ. 2001; 79(3): 249-256.
3. Kanski Jack J. Clinical ophthalmology; a systemic approach. 2011; 7: 272-273.
4. American academy of ophthalmology. Lens and cataract. San Fransisco, CA, American Academy of Ophthalmology, 1998; [Basic and Clinical Science Course, section 11].
5. Linebarger EJ, Hardten DR, Shah GK, Lindstrom RL. Phacoemulsification and Modern Cataract Surgery. Surv Ophthalmol. 1999; 44(2): 123-147.
6. Watson A, Sunderraj P. Comparision of small incision phacoemulsification with standard extra capsular cataract surgery: post-operative astigmatism and visual recovery. Eye. 1992; 6(6): 626-629.
7. Werblin TP. Astigmatism after cataract extraction: 6 years follow up of 6.5 and 12 mm incision. Refract Corneal Surg. 1992; 8(6): 448- 458.
8. Kanski JJ. Clinical ophthalmology; a systemic approach. 2011; 7: 281.
9. Muralikrishan R , Venkatesh R, Babu B, Manohar P, Venkatesh N. Acomparision of the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of three different methods of cataract extraction in relation to the magnitude of post operative astigmatism. Asia Pacific J Ophthalmol. 2003; 15: 5-12.
10. Demong TT, Yoshida K. Evaluation of soft foldable IOLs in relation to PMMA lens. Ophthalmic Practice. 1996; 2: 61-64.
11. Khan AA, Azher AN, Chohan AM. Review of 100 cases of phacoemulsification. Pak J Ophthalmol. 1997; 13: 37-40.
12. Olson R. Viscoelastic to the rescue. In: Obstbaum SA & Moderator (Eds.), Advances in cataract surgery: devices, application, techniques. Ophthamol Times. 2004; 29 (S3): 12-13.
13. Cooper BA, Holekamp NM, Bohigian G, Thompson PA. Case- control study of endophthalmitis after cataract surgery comparing scleral tunnel and clear corneal wounds. Am J Ophthalmol. 2003; 136(2): 300-305.
14. Gimbel HV. Divide and Conquer Nucleofractis phacoemulsification: Development and variations. J cataract Refract Surg. 1991; 17(3): 281-291.
15. Popiela G, Markuszewska J, Chełstowska J, Szaliński M. Analysis of phacoemulsification complications during mastering of the method. KlinOczna. 2004; 106(1-2): 23-27.
16. O'Brien PD, Fitzpatrick P, Kilmartin DJ, Beatty S. Risk factors for endothelial cell loss after phacoemulsification surgery by a junior resident. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2004; 30(4): 839-843.
17. Zetterstrin C, Laurell CG. Comparison of endothelial cell loss and phacoemulsification energy during endocapsulr phacoemulsification surgery. J Cataract Refract Surgery. 1999; 21(1): 55- 58.
18. Pirazzoli G, D'Eliseo D, Ziosi M, Acciarri R (1996) Effects of phacoemulsification time on the corneal endothelium using phacofracture and phaco chop techniques. J Cataract Refract Surg. 1996; 22(7): 967-269.